

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

8 ANTHONY CROSS,) 3:13-cv-00433-MMD-WGC
9 Plaintiff,)
10 vs.) **ORDER**
11 RON JAEGER,)
12 Defendant.)
13

14
15 Before the court are Defendant's Notice of Compliance with Court Order (ECF No. 564) and In
16 Camera Submission (ECF No. 565) and Plaintiff's Response to Defendant's Notice of In Camera
17 Submission (ECF No. 567) and Response to Defendant's Notice of Compliance with Court Order
18 (ECF No. 568).

19 In Defendant's "Notice" (ECF No. 564), Defendant states he "has submitted a copy of the
20 documents used at trial as well as the documents received from OMD to demonstrate the information
21 in the documents is the same. The documents have been submitted *in camera* for review by the Court
22 as Exhibit B . . ." (ECF No. 564 at 3). The court assumes the "documents used at trial" was a reference
23 to the six page Exhibit (Exhibit 511) regarding former inmate Medel's Disciplinary Form II which was
24 *not* included among Defendant's submission; the court, however, was able to secure a copy of
25 Exhibit 511. Exhibit B to Defendant's *in camera* submission is substantially similar to - but not the
26 "same" as - trial Exhibit 511.

27 The court fails to discern why Exhibit B was submitted *in camera* when Defendant represents
28 it (Exhibit B) "is the same" as the trial exhibit. Defendant shall have until **noon, Friday, March 9,**
2018, to explain why Exhibit B, if it is the same trial Exhibit 511, was submitted *in camera*. Defendant

1 shall also explain where Exhibit B came from and how it came into the possession of Defendant's
2 counsel (See ¶ 9, Collins Declaration, ECF No. 564-1 at 3).

3 Defendant's counsel should be aware that under LR IA 10-4, documents submitted *in camera*
4 "must not be filed with the court, but must be delivered to chambers of the appropriate judge."
5 Documents submitted *in camera* should not be filed "under seal" as Defendant has done in ECF No. 565.
6 Documents filed *in camera* are not served on opposing parties; on the other hand, documents filed under
7 seal are served LR IA 10-5(c) - unless an affidavit accompanies the under seal submission "showing
8 good cause why the document has not been served on the opposing attorneys or pro se parties." (*Id.*)
9 No such affidavit accompanied Defendant's "in camera submission" which was inappropriately filed
10 under seal. Accordingly, if Defendant wishes to have Exhibit B remain in *in camera* status, Defendant
11 should explain such and also file a motion for leave to file documents under seal if that is counsel's
12 intention. LR IA 10-5(a).

13 The court instructs the Courtroom Administrator to set a hearing on the court's order (ECF No.
14 563). The hearing will *not* address any alleged prejudice at trial to Plaintiff regarding the use of
15 Exhibit 511 or the apparent failure to produce what has been submitted as Exhibit B. Instead, the hearing
16 will only address Defendant's compliance with the terms and intent of this court's order of December 21,
17 2016. (ECF No. 564.)

18 DATED: March 5, 2018.

19
20 
21 WILLIAM G. COBB
22 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
23
24
25
26
27
28